

**From:** 5.1.2e <5.1.2e@uniroma1.it>

**Sent:** Thursday, December 11, 2025 6:19:29 PM

**To:** 5.1.2e <5.1.2e@natuurlijkcommunicatie.nl>

**Subject:** Re: Request for your expert opinion re GW4655m NP Hoge Veluwe Gelderland NL

U ontvangt niet vaak e-mail van 5.1.2e@uniroma1.it. [Ontdek waarom dit belangrijk is](#)

Dear 5.1.2e

here my brief answers to your questions.

1. I have not changed my opinion about the urgent necessity to remove wolf GW4655m. The reasons for the removal are all still valid. The fact that no other attacks have occurred from April till now is not an indication that the wolf has lost his potential behaviour of attacking humans. Wolf attacks are not driven (only) by hunger but also by a huge number of variables linked to ecological, social, human factors. Wolf GW4655m has tested once and successfully the possibility to grab a human body and has learned that it is possible. The potential for other attacks is there, ready to be shown when the right factors would trigger it. Of course, as I mentioned in my previous opinion letter, it all depends on the willingness of the national and provincial authorities to take the risk of other attacks. There is no certainty of other attacks but the risk is certainly not zero.

2. Wolf GW4655m is a young animal that has not left yet his pack to disperse in search of other partners and territories. This is the season when most dispersal occurs and this wolf may indeed disappear soon from the territory where he was born. Dispersal (when, where, how, etc.) is not predictable with certainty but it is likely. If this happens, the wolf will bring elsewhere his potential for attacks on humans and may even help raising litters of wolves that could learn his behaviour. Again, it is a matter of taking the risk. In my opinion, the coexistence between humans and wolves, especially in areas of high human density, should be based on the attempt to reduce the risks of conflicts (and especially of attacks) as much as possible: this includes the preventive removal of animals that have shown concrete evidence of being potentially more dangerous than the large majority of wolves. As shown by cases all over the world, potentially aggressive wolves are a tiny minority, only a few cases, and their removal can be extremely selective.

I hope these thoughts will help informing the decision about this wolf. My best regards

5.1.2e

Il 11/12/2025 17:47, 5.1.2e ha scritto:

Tomorrow, Friday December 12th 13:00 PM, another court hearing will take place regarding the removal of wolf GW4655m. The topic is injunctive relief measure execution removal GW4655m NP Hoge Veluwe.

Because of your earlier expert opinion October 7th last in this case I kindly request your opinion on the following questions for this upcoming hearing.

Has your opinion regarding this wolf to remove him from the population as soon as possible, changed due the fact that no incident with this wolf has occurred since the incident in April 2025, when this wolf had bitten a woman in Nationaal Park De Hoge Veluwe?

And secondly, when assessing the risk involved in not removing this animal, would you consider potential dispersion of this wolf to another territory, to be relevant?

Thank you in advance for answering the above questions. As the court hearing will take place tomorrow, your swift response would be very much appreciated.

Cordiali saluti,